

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/706,521 11/12/2003 Eoin J.P. Callan 2C06.1-010 23506 05/27/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 GARDNER GROFF, P.C. PATEL, NIHIR B PAPER MILL VILLAGE, BUILDING 23 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **600 VILLAGE TRACE** SUITE 300 3743 MARIETTA, GA 30067

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,521	CALLAN, EOIN J.Ŗ. V
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nihir Patel	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 3'd, 2004.		
,	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) □ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1 through 11 on May 3rd, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are independent/distinct as claimed for example claims 1-11 are apparatus claims whereas claims 12-20 are business methods claims. Since the claims are independent/distinct as claimed, there is a serious burden on the examiner to search two different classes.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mason US Patent No. 4,488,547. Referring to claim 1, Mason discloses a face mask that comprises a panel having an inner side and an outer side and at least one fastener affixed to the panel for attaching the mask to a wearer, the respiratory mask further comprising at least one display visible on the outer side of the panel (see figure 3).

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Art Unit: 3743

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "for attaching the mask to a wearer".

Referring to claim 3, Mason discloses a facemask wherein the display comprises text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Referring to claims 2 and 4 through 11, Mason (US Patent No. 4,488,547) discloses the claimed invention except for the specific arrangement and/or content of indica (printed matter) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a display that comprises graphical matter, graphics and text, graphics depicting a national flag, graphics depicting a smiling face, information regarding a pharmaceutical product, information regarding specified characteristics of the wearer, information regarding symptoms of a patient wearer of the mask, information regarding the severity of a medical condition of a patient wearer of the mask, and information regarding the qualifications of medical professional wearer of the mask since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack, 217 USPQ. 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of displays does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter e.g. graphical matter, graphics and text, graphics depicting a national flag, graphics depicting a smiling face, information regarding Art Unit: 3743

a pharmaceutical product, information regarding specified characteristics of the wearer, information regarding symptoms of a patient wearer of the mask, information regarding the severity of a medical condition of a patient wearer of the mask, and information regarding the qualifications of medical professional wearer of the mask and the substrate e.g. mask which is required for patentability.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (703) 308-0101.

NP May 24th, 2004

Henry Bennett

Page 4

Supervisor/Hatent Examiner